UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

LAWRENCE SCOTT,)		
an individual,)		
)	Case No.:	4:23-cv-4557
Plaintiff,)		
V.)		
)		
PROSPERITY GLOBAL INVESTMENT)		
LIMITED PARTNERSHIP,)		
a Texas Limited Partnership,)		
)		
Defendant.)		
	_)		

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, LAWRENCE SCOTT, through his undersigned counsel, hereby files this Complaint and sues PROSPERITY GLOBAL INVESTMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Texas Limited Partnership, for injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.*, ("AMERICANS WITH DISABILITIES ACT" or "ADA") and alleges:

JURISDICTION AND PARTIES

- 1. This is an action for declaratory and injunctive relief pursuant to Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, *et seq.*, (the "ADA"). This Court is vested with original jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the subject matter of all other claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1367(a).
- 2. Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b), because the Defendant's Property, which is the subject of this action, is located in Fort Bend County, Texas.
- 3. Plaintiff, LAWRENCE SCOTT (hereinafter referred to as "MR. SCOTT" or "Plaintiff"), is a resident of the State of Texas in Fort Bend County.

- 4. MR. SCOTT is a qualified individual with a disability under the ADA. In 2002, MR. SCOTT suffered a severe injury to his neck resulting in trauma to his C5 and C7 vertebrae. MR. SCOTT is paralyzed from the waist down.
- 5. Due to his disability, Plaintiff is substantially impaired in several major life activities, such as walking and standing, and requires a wheelchair for mobility.
- 6. Defendant, PROSPERITY GLOBAL INVESTMENT LIMITED PARTNERSHIP, a Texas Limited Partnership (hereinafter referred to as "Defendant"), is registered to do business in the State of Texas. Upon information and belief, Defendant is the owner, lessor and/or operator of the real property and improvements which are the subject of this action, to wit: the "Property," generally located at 4519 Reading Rd, Rosenberg, TX 77471.
 - 7. All events giving rise to this lawsuit occurred in the Southern District of Texas.

COUNT I (VIOLATION OF TITLE III OF THE ADA)

- 8. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates into this cause of action each and every allegation contained in the previous paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 9. The Property, a shopping plaza, is open to the public and provides goods and services to the public.
- 10. Plaintiff has visited the Property multiple times and attempted to utilize the goods and services offered at the Property and plans to return to the Property in the near future.
- 11. During his visits, MR. SCOTT experienced serious difficulty accessing the goods and utilizing the services therein due to the architectural barriers discussed in this Complaint. Moreover, but for the inaccessible condition of the Property, Plaintiff would like to visit the Property more often.

2

- 12. Due to the barriers, Plaintiff has been unable to, and continues to be unable to, enjoy full and equal access to good and services offered at the Property, owned, leased, and/or operated by Defendant. Additionally, MR. SCOTT continues to desire to visit the Property, but fears that he will again encounter serious difficulty and safety hazards due to the barriers discussed herein which still exist.
- 13. Defendant has discriminated, and continues to discriminate, against Plaintiff in violation of 42 U.S.C. § 12181 *et seq.* and 28 C.F.R. § 36.302 *et seq.* by excluding and/or denying Plaintiff the benefits of the goods and services located on the Property by failing to provide and/or correct the following barriers to access which Plaintiff personally observed, encountered, and which hindered his access:
 - A. Plaintiff personally encountered inaccessible parking designated for disabled use throughout the Property due to missing signage and faded markings. These conditions made it difficult for Plaintiff to identify the designated disabled parking areas.
 - B. Plaintiff personally encountered inaccessible parking at the designated disabled parking spaces in front of Shipley Donuts due to a ramp improperly protruding into the disabled use access aisle, causing excessive slopes. This condition made it difficult for Plaintiff to transfer safely from his vehicle into his wheelchair, as well as increased his risk of fall.
 - C. Plaintiff personally encountered inaccessible ramps throughout the Property due to excessive long slopes, excessively sloped side flares and pavement in disrepair. These conditions made it difficult and unsafe for Plaintiff to maneuver over the ramps, as well as increased his risk of fall.

- D. Plaintiff personally encountered an inaccessible entrance at Little Caesars due to lack of a level landing in the pull side clearance area at the entrance. This condition made it difficult for Plaintiff to gain access to the establishment and increased his risk of injury from a fall.
- E. Plaintiff personally encountered inaccessible outdoor seating servicing Dairy

 Queen / Shipley Donuts. Specifically, the outdoor seating area does not
 contain alternative seating to accommodate Plaintiff's disability.

 Consequently, the lack of alternative seating made it impossible for Plaintiff
 to access and utilize the outdoor seating available to other patrons at Shipley

 Donuts.
- 14. Upon information and belief, there are other current violations of the ADA and the ADA Accessibility Guidelines ("ADAAG") at the Property, and only after a full inspection is performed by the Plaintiff or Plaintiff's representatives can all said violations be identified.
- 15. To date, the readily achievable barriers and other violations of the ADA still exist and have not been remedied or altered in such a way as to effectuate compliance with the provisions of the ADA.
- 16. Independent of his intent to return as a patron to the Property, Plaintiff additionally intends to return to the Property as an ADA tester to determine whether the barriers to access stated herein have been remedied.
- 17. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property is readily achievable, structurally feasible and easily accomplishable without placing an undue burden on Defendant.
 - 18. Removal of the barriers to access located on the Property would allow Plaintiff to

fully utilize the goods and services located therein.

19. The Plaintiff has been obligated to retain undersigned counsel for the filing and

prosecution of this action. Plaintiff is entitled to have his reasonable attorneys' fees, costs, and

expenses paid by Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant and requests the

following injunctive and declaratory relief:

A. That the Court declare that the Property owned, leased, and/or operated by

each Defendant is in violation of the ADA;

B. That the Court enter an Order directing each Defendant to alter its facility to

make them accessible to and useable by individuals with disabilities to the

full extent required by Title III of the ADA;

C. That the Court enter an Order directing each Defendant to evaluate and

neutralize its policies and procedures towards persons with disabilities for

such reasonable time so as to allow each Defendant to undertake and complete

corrective procedures;

D. That the Court award reasonable attorneys' fees, costs (including expert fees),

and other expenses of suit, to the Plaintiff; and

E. That this Court award Plaintiff such other additional and proper relief as may

be just and equitable.

By: /s/ Brian T. Ku

Brian T. Ku

5

Attorney-in-charge S.D. TX No. 3424380 Ku & Mussman, P.A. 18501 Pines Boulevard, Suite 209-A Pembroke Pines, FL 33029 Tel: (305) 891-1322

Fax: (954) 686-3976 Brian@kumussman.com

and

John K. Grubb Local Counsel State Bar No. 08553500 John K. Grubb & Associates 2520 Robinhood St., # 500 Houston, Texas 77005 Tel: (713) 702-1808 jgrubb@grubblegal.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff